

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/973,862 10/11/2001 Kelly G. Ammann 2599-104-D4 8811 EXAMINER 6449 12/27/2005 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. LU, FRANK WEI MIN 1425 K STREET, N.W. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 1634

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/973,862	AMMANN ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Frank W Lu	1634
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 October 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.	
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<u></u>		
Applicati	on Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/05 and 11/05</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Date of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/973,862 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's response to the office action filed on October 5, 2005 has been entered. The claims pending in this application are claims 23-56. Rejection and/or objection not reiterated from the previous office action are hereby withdrawn in view of the response filed on October 5, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 23, 24, 26-30, 32-40, and 42-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 23 is rejected as vague and indefinite. Since the claim is directed to an automated system (a device or an apparatus) and is not directed to a method, it is unclear how a part of a device or an apparatus is one or more transport mechanisms since one or more transport mechanisms may be method steps. Please clarify.

Response to Arguments

In page 8, last paragraph bridging to page 9, first paragraph of applicant's remarks, applicant argues that "the Examiner has provided no reasoning to suggest how a transport mechanism may be method steps. To the contrary, the phrase "transport mechanism" clearly and unambiguously conveys that the recited structure is a device that can carry a reaction receptacle

Application/Control Number: 09/973,862 Page 3

Art Unit: 1634

between stations of the claimed system. See Attachment B, dictionary definition of the term 'mechanism'.".

This argument has been fully considered but it is not persuasive toward the withdrawal of the rejection. First, according to dictionary definition of the term "mechanism" submitted by applicant, the word "mechanism" can be a "process, physical or mental, by which something is done or comes into being". Second, applicant has no evidence to show that one or more transport mechanisms can only be read as one or more devices.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. No claim is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,862

Art Unit: 1634

7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is (571)273-8300.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571)272-0746. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (571)272-0745.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to (571) 272-0547.

Frank Lu

Primary Examiner

Much an

December 19, 2005